



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
PO Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/009,210	11/09/2001	Nouri Neamati	4239-61380	5557
24197	7590	08/01/2003		
KLARQUIST SPARKMAN, LLP 121 SW SALMON STREET SUITE 1600 PORTLAND, OR 97204			EXAMINER	
			KIFLE, BRUCK	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1624	

DATE MAILED: 08/01/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Interview Summary	Application No. 10/009,210	Applicant(s) Neamati et al.
	Examiner Bruck Kifle, Ph.D.	Art Unit: 1624

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Bruck Kifle, Ph.D.

(3) _____

(2) Mr. Wayne Rupert

(4) _____

Date of Interview Jul 29, 2003

Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference
c) Personal [copy is given to 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No. If yes, brief description:

Claim(s) discussed: 29-38 and 43-45

Identification of prior art discussed:

Garofalo et al. (European Journal of Medicinal Chemistry (1993), 28(3), 213-220).

Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments:

Applicants representative pointed out that the reference does not anticipate the claims because of the limitation at R5.
The examiner agrees.

Applicants will present arguments/amendments to overcome the outstanding 35 USC 112 rejections.

It was agreed that a method of use claim, of the same scope as the compound, would be examined along with the compound/pharmaceutical composition claims.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

i) It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview (if box is checked).

Unless the paragraph above has been checked, THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached

**BRUCK KIFLE, PH.D.
PRIMARY EXAMINER
ART UNIT 1624**


Examiner's signature, if required

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.